INTERPRETING the BIBLE - Pt. 2

II The <u>NATURALISTIC</u> Approach

1. RATIONALISM

- a) became dominant in the 17th century
- b) human reasoning is the ultimate authority
 - interpretation is limited to what can be understood by natural processes and human insights
- c) the Rationalist has 3 kinds of problems:
 - 1) teachings which are considered "morally unworthy" of God:
 - His commands to kill and destroy
 - His wrath and judgment
 - 2) the miraculous cannot be accepted
 - it is explained as myths arising around natural events
 - 3) statements of Scripture that seem to contradict either
 - * other statements in Scripture
 - * or physical, biological or behavioral science
- d) the result: there is no sure word from God

2. BIBLICAL CRITICISM

- = the skillful evaluation of the data to determine the truth about the Scripture
- a) this academic practice rose to prominence in the 19th century

- b) Biblical criticism took two forms:
 - i) Textual or Lower Criticism
 - = the science of comparing text with text to determine the original text
 - most early textual critics took the original text to be divinely inspired
 - an accurate text was of utmost importance to them
 - ii) Higher Criticism
 - examining the historical context and the literary features of the text/book
 - acknowledges only the human authorship of the Scripture
 - concludes the supernatural elements not to be true → the Bible has errors

3. CULTURAL RELATIVISM

- a) culture is the way a group of people does things or views things
 - the culture of
 - * the author enhances understanding
 - * the reader is important to application
- b) when the cultural context is emphasized at the expense of the obvious meaning the result is naturalistic
- c) Scripture was given to change the culture
- → became influential in the later 20th century

THE RESULT IS:

Human reasoning rules in interpretation

III The **EXISTENTIALISTIC** Approach

- 1. this approach arose early in the 20th century as a reaction to Rationalism
- 2. it holds that the Bible is the vehicle of God's revelation to man
 - a) the Bible on its own cannot be called the revelation of God
 - b) the Bible becomes revelation when mixed with faith by the reader
 - c) the Bible is not God's Word unless or until you believe it
 - d) the Bible is not an independent authority
- 3. it uses traditional terms with non-traditional meaning

THE RESULT IS:

Belief & experience rule in interpretation

IV The **DOGMATIC** Approach

- interpretation must conform to a predetermined system of doctrine
- 2. there is a need for a system of doctrine
- 3. the problem: objective study to determine the author's meaning is hindered
- 4. the independent authority of Scripture is replaced by a man-made system

THE RESULT IS:

Tradition rules in interpretation